IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 109 OF 2006
IN
LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO. 89 OF 2006.
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1728 OF 2006

Shri Bapusaheb Sahebrao Patil] .. Appellant (Ori.Petitioner)

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 2 ors.] .. Respondents (Ori.Respondents)

Mr. M.A. Chaudhari for the appellant.

Ms. V.S. Mhaispurkar, AGP, for respondents No.1, 4.

Mr. M.M. Vashi for respondent No.2.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
A.S. BAGGA, JJ.

DATED: 26TH JUNE, 2006

P.C. :

. We are informed by Mr. M.M. Vashi Advocate for respondent No.2 that subsequent to the discharge of the ad-interim order, the fresh election to the Office of Chairman, Panchayat Samiti Karmala has taken place and that respondent No.2 has been declared elected.

2. In view thereof, we are satisfied that the appellant is not entitled to any interim relief.

3. Civil Application is rejected.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[A.S. BAGGA, J.]